#### Integrating Machine Learning into Lattice QCD

Akio Tomiya (IPUT Osaka)

MLPhys Foundation of "Machine Learning Physics" Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) Program for Promoting Researches on the Supercomputer Fugaku Large-scale lattice QCD simulation and development of AI technology

akio\_at\_yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

# Akio Tomiya Machine learning for theoretical physics





#### What am I?

I am a particle physicist, working on lattice QCD. I want to apply machine learning on it.

#### My papers https://scholar.google.co.jp/citations?user=LKVqy wAAAAJ

Detection of phase transition via convolutional neural networks A Tanaka, A Tomiya Detecting phase transition Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 86 (6), 063001

Digital quantum simulation of the schwinger model with topological term via adiabatic state preparation

B Chakraborty, M Honda, T Izubuchi, Y Kikuchi, A Tomiya arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.00485

Quantum computing for quantum field theory

#### Biography

- 2006-2010 : University of Hyogo (Cond. mat.)
- 2015 : PhD in Osaka university (Particle phys)
- 2015 2018 : Postdoc in Wuhan (China)
- 2018 2021 : SPDR in Riken/BNL (US)
- 2021 : Assistant prof. in IPUT Osaka (ML/AI)

#### Kakenhi and others

Leader of proj A01 Transformative Research Areas

MLPhys Foundation of "Machine Learning Physics" Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) on the Supercomputer Fugaku Large-scale lattice QCD simulation and development of AI technology

+quantum computer

#### Others:

Organizing "Deep Learning and physics"

Supervision of Shin-Kamen Rider



# **Outline of my talk**

Message: I've been developing neural networks for lattice QCD





How to calculate it Problem





3

E.g. Linear regression ∈ Supervised learning

Data:  $D = \{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), \cdots \}$ 



E.g. Linear regression ∈ Supervised learning

Data:  $D = \{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), \cdots \}$ 



a, b, c, are determined by minimizing E (training = fitting by data)

E.g. Linear regression ∈ Supervised learning

7

Data:  $D = \{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), \cdots \}$ 



a, b, c, are determined by minimizing E (training = fitting by data)

E.g. Linear regression ∈ Supervised learning

Data:  $D = \{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), \cdots \}$ 



Now we can predict y value which not in the data

In physics language, variational method

#### **Example: Recognition of hand-written numbers (0-9)**



#### How can we formulate this "Black box"? Ansatz?

#### **Example: Recognition of hand-written numbers (0-9)**



Image recognition = Find a map between two vector spaces

#### **Example: Recognition of hand-written numbers (0-9)**



# What is the neural networks? Akio Tomiya Affine transformation + element-wise transformation

**Layers of neural nets**  $l = 2, 3, \dots, L$ ,  $\overrightarrow{u}^{(1)} = \overrightarrow{x}$   $W^l$ ,  $\overrightarrow{b}^{(l)}$  are fit parameters

$$\begin{cases} \vec{z}^{(l)} = W^{(l)} \overrightarrow{u}^{(l-1)} + \overrightarrow{b}^{(l)} \\ u_i^{(l)} = \sigma^{(l)} (z_i^{(l)}) & \text{Eler} \\ \text{hyp} \end{cases}$$

Affine transformation (b=0 called linear transformation)

Element-wise (local) non-linear. hyperbolic tangent-ish function

#### A fully connected neural net:

$$f_{\theta}(\vec{x}) = \sigma^{(3)}(W^{(3)}\sigma^{(2)}(W^{(2)}\vec{x} + \vec{b}^{(2)}) + \vec{b}^{(3)})$$

 $\theta$  is a set of parameters:  $w_{ii}^{(l)}, b_i^{(l)}, \cdots$ 

- Input & output = vectors
- Neural net = a nested function with a lot of parameters (W, b)
- Parameters (W, b) are determined from data

#### Neural network = map between vectors and vectors Physicists terminology: Variational ansatz

#### **Example: Recognition of hand-written numbers (0-9)**



#### What is the neural networks? Neural network have been good job

Protein Folding (AlphaFold2, John Jumper+, Nature, 2020+), Transformer neural net



Neural network wave function for many body (Carleo Troyer, Science 355, 602 (2017))



https://horomary.hatenablog.com/entry/2021/10/01/19482

# What is Lattice QCD?

#### Introduction What is QCD?



\*QCD = Quantum Chromo-dynamics = A fundamental theory for particles in nuclei Quantum many body, relativistic, strongly correlated

# Akio Tomiya Lattice QCD = QCD on discretized spacetime = calculable

QCD (Quantum Chromo-dynamics) in 3 + 1 dimension

$$S = \int d^4x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} (\mathrm{i}\partial + gA - m) \psi \right]$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - ig[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]$$

Non-commutable version of (quantum) electro-magnetism







• This describes...

- inside of nuclei,& mass of hadrons
- Equation of state of neutron stars, Heavy ion collisions, etc
- We want to evaluate expectation values with following integral,

$$O\rangle \sim \left[ \mathscr{D}A \mathscr{D}\bar{\psi} \mathscr{D}\psi e^{\mathrm{i}S} \right]$$

Lattice formulation enables us to do that

# **Introduction** What is our final goal for our research field?



In short, we simulate of elementary particles in nuclei

Using super computers + Lattice QCD, we can understand... - melting of protons/neutrons etc. at high temperatures

 $\rightarrow$  related to the history of the universe

- attractive/repulsive forces between atomic nuclei
  - → to understand how stars are born and die
- candidate properties of dark matter

etc.

Akio Tomiya

We want to understand our universe from fundamental level!

#### **Motivation** Monte-Carlo integration is available, but still expensive!

Markov-

Chain

M. Creutz 1980

Akio Tomiya

Target integration  
= expectation value 
$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D} U e^{-S_{\text{eff}}[U]} \mathcal{O}(U)$$
  
 $S_{\text{eff}}[U] = S_{\text{gauge}}[U] - \log \det(\mathcal{D}[U] + m)$ 

Monte-Carlo: Generate field configurations with " $P[U] = \frac{1}{Z}e^{-S_{eff}[U]}$ ". It gives expectation value



Numerically expensive (<sup>())</sup> part) and how can we accelerate it? We use machine learning!

## **Introduction** Neural net can make human face images

Neural nets can generate realistic human faces (Style GAN2)



Realistic Images can be generated by machine learning! Configurations as well? (proposals ~ images?)

# ML for LQCD is needed

- Machine learning/ Neural networks
  - data processing techniques for 2d/3d data in the real world (pictures)
  - (Variational) Approximation ( $\sim$  fitting)
- Lattice QCD is more complicated than pictures
  - 4 dimension/relativistic
  - Non-abelian gauge symmetry (difficult)
  - Fermions (anti-commuting/fully quantum)
  - Exactness in MCMC is necessary!
- Q. How can we deal with?





http://www.physics.adelaide.edu.au/theory/staff/leinweber/VisualQCD/QCDvacuum/

# Introduction

#### **Configuration generation with machine learning is developing**

Year	Group	ML	Dim.	Theory	Gauge sym	Exact?	Fermion?	Lattice2021/ref
2017	AT+	RBM + HMC	2d	Scalar	-	No	No	arXiv: 1712.03893
2018	K. Zhou+	GAN	2d	Scalar	-	No	No	arXiv: 1810.12879
2018	J. Pawlowski +	GAN +HMC	2d	Scalar	-	Yes?	No	arXiv: 1811.03533
2019	MIT+	Flow	2d	Scalar	-	Yes	No	arXiv: 1904.12072
2020	MIT+	Flow	2d	U(1)	Equivariant	Yes	No	arXiv: 2003.06413
2020	MIT+	Flow	2d	SU(N)	Equivariant	Yes	No	arXiv: 2008.05456
2020	AT+	SLMC	4d	SU(N)	Invariant	Yes	Partially	arXiv: 2010.11900
2021	M. Medvidovic´+	A-NICE	2d	Scalar	-	No	No	arXiv: 2012.01442
2021	S. Foreman	L2HMC	2d	U(1)	Yes	Yes	No	
2021	AT+	SLHMC	4d	QCD	Covariant	Yes	YES!	
2021	L. Del Debbio+	Flow	2d	Scalar, O(N)	-	Yes	No	
2021	MIT+	Flow	2d	Yukawa	-	Yes	Yes	
2021	S. Foreman, AT+	Flowed HMC	2d	U(1)	Equivariant	Yes	No but compatible	arXiv: 2112.01586
2021	XY Jing	Neural net	2d	U(1)	Equivariant	Yes	No	
2022	J. Finkenrath	Flow	2d	U(1)	Equivariant	Yes	Yes (diagonalization)	arxiv: 2201.02216
2022	MIT+	Flow	2d	U(1)	Equivariant	Yes	Yes (diagonalization)	arXiv:2202.11712

+...

22

# Three methods with machine learning 1/3: Flow based sampling

#### Flow based sampling algorithm Change of variables makes problem easy

$$D\phi e^{-S[\phi]}O[\phi] = \int Dz \left| \det \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right| e^{-S[\phi[z]]}O[\phi[z]]$$

$$= Jacobian = J$$

$$S_{eff}[z] = S[\phi[z]] - \log J[z]$$

$$= \int Dz e^{-S_{eff}[z]}O[\phi[z]]$$
If this is easy to sample (or integrate), like flat measure/Gaussian, we are happy

arxiv 1904.12072, 2003.06413, 2008.05456 and more

Flow based sampling algorithm Akio Tomiya Viewpoint: Change of variables makes problem easy Example: Box Muller  $\begin{cases}
z = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} & \text{Change} \\
\tan \theta = y/x & \text{of variables}
\end{cases}$   $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \ e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}y^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{0}^{1} dz$ **Simplest example: Box Muller Easv** Target integral: hard

Change of variables sometimes problem easier (this case, it makes the measure flat)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{RHS is flat measure} \\ \rightarrow \text{We can sample like right eq.} \\ (uniform) \end{array} \begin{cases} \xi_1 \sim (0, 2\pi) \\ \xi_2 \sim (0, 1) \\ \\ \xi_2 \sim (0, 1) \\ \\ \xi_2 \sim (0, 1) \\ \\ \\ \\ \xi_2 \sim (0, 1) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ y = r \cos \theta \quad \theta = \xi_1 \\ \\ y = r \sin \theta \quad r = \sqrt{-2 \log \xi_2} \\ \end{array}$$

# Flow based sampling algorithm Trivializing map realized using neural network

Normalizing flow? = Change of variable with **neural nets** Tractable Jacobian is realized by checker-board technique



(a) Normalizing flow between prior and output distributions

$$\prod_{i} \int d\varphi_{i} e^{-V(\varphi_{i})} J[\varphi] O[F[\varphi]] \approx \int D\phi e^{-S[\phi]} O[\phi]$$

Problem: Jacobian is difficult = O(V^3) -> Introduce checker-board decomposition



#### Flow based sampling algorithm Flow based ML for QFT MIT + Deepmind + ...



## Flow based sampling algorithm We make new convolutional layer for QFT in d-dim

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

- •We implement CombiConv for flow-based sampling algorithm for d-dimensional scalar field theory on the lattice
- •3d convolution is available on GomalizingFlow.jl [1], open source implementation of flow-based sampling algorithm

$$\bullet nCk = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

- In 3d, the acceptance rate is improved for CombiConv compared with the conventional 3d convolution
- In 4d, it works well for any combination of lower dimensional convolution
- •This works in any number of dimensions.

# Three methods with machine learning 2/3: Gauge covariant NN + SLHMC

# **Convolution respects symmetry** Convolution layer = trainable filter

#### Filter on image

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Laplacian filter

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

(Discretization of  $\partial^2$ )

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Edge detection

Akio Tomiya

If input is shifted, output is shifted= respects transnational symmetry

#### **Convolution layer**

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_11.jpeg)

#### **Convolution respects transnational symmetry as well**

## **Convolution respects symmetry** Smearing = Smoothing of gauge fields

# Coarse image

Eg.

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

We want to smoothen *gauge* field configurations with keeping *gauge* symmetry

Two types:

**APE-type smearing** 

**Stout-type smearing** 

M. Albanese+ 1987 R. Hoffmann+ 2007 C. Morningster+ 2003

#### Smearing ~ smoothing Smearing $\sim$ neural network with fixed parameter!

General form of smearing (~smoothing, averaging in space)

 $\begin{cases} z_{\mu}(n) = w_1 U_{\mu}(n) + w_2 \mathscr{G}[U] & \text{Summation with gauge sym} \\ U_{\mu}^{\text{fat}}(n) = \mathscr{N}(z_{\mu}(n)) & \text{A local function} \\ (\text{Projecting on the gauge group)} \end{cases}$ 

It has similar structure with neural networks,

 $\begin{cases} z_i^{(l)} = \sum_{j} w_{ij}^{(l)} u_j^{(l-1)} + b_i^{(l)} & \text{Matrix product vector addition} \\ u_i^{(l)} = \sigma^{(l)}(z_i^{(l)}) & \text{element-wise (Icomparison)} \end{cases}$ element-wise (local) Non-linear transf.

(Index i in the neural net corresponds to n & µ in smearing. Information processing with NN is evolution of scalar field)

Multi-level smearing = Deep learning (with given parameters)

As same as the convolution, we can train weights.

Typically  $\sigma \sim \tanh \text{shape}$ 

# **Application for the Full QCD in 4d**

**Results are consistent with each other** 

AT Y. Nagai arXiv: 2103.11965

1.0

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Three methods with machine learning 3/3: Transformer for physical system

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 1: The Transformer - model architecture.

Attention layer is essential.

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Akio Tomiya ArXiv: 2306.11527 + update Application to O(3) spin model with fermions (Kondo model)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

Note: As far as we tested, CNN-type does not work in this case. No improvements with increase of layers. (Global correlations of fermions from Fermi-Dirac statistics make acceptance bad?)

Physical values are consistent (as we expected)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Machine learning is useful for natural science/physics/Lattice QCD
- Multi-dimensional integration is done by MCMC
- MCMC candidate can be made by Machine learning
  - Flow-based sampling algorithm
  - Self-learning HMC + Gauge covariant neural network
  - Transformer for physical system (not gauge theory yet)
    - Scaling law for a Transformer for physical system
- ML + expert knowledge of computational physics/LatticeQCD is important

Thanks!

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)