# Direct calculation of light nucleus from lattice QCD

### Takeshi Yamazaki







Center for Computational Sciences

Ken-ichi Ishikawa, Yoshinobu Kuramashi, Akira Ukawa for PACS Collaboration

Refs: PRD81:111504(R)(2010); PRD84:054506(2011); PRD86:074514(2012)

PRD92:014501(2015); PoS(LATTICE 2015)081

First Tsukuba-CCS-RIKEN joint workshop on microscopic theories of nuclear structure and dynamics @ RIEKN, Dec. 12–13, 2016 and CCS, Dec. 14–16, 2016

### Outline

- Introduction
- Calculation method of nucleus in lattice QCD
- Simulation parameters
- Results of light nuclei
  - NN channels
  - <sup>4</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>He channels
- Summary and future work

## Introduction

Binding force  $\begin{cases} \text{protons and neutrons} \rightarrow \text{nuclei} \\ \text{quarks and gluons} \rightarrow \text{protons and neutrons} \end{cases}$ 

both from fundamental strong interaction of quark and gluon well known, but hard to prove

Spectrum of proton and neutron (nucleons) success of non-perturbative lattice QCD calculation degrees of freedom of quarks and gluons

quark and gluon  $\rightarrow$  proton and neutron  $\rightarrow$  nucleus

### Hadron spectrum in $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD Lattice 2015, Ukita for PACS Collaboration PoS(LATTICE2015)075

 $m_{\pi} \sim 0.145$  GeV on  $L \sim 8$  fm at  $a^{-1} = 2.33$  GeV (SPIRE Field 5) using reweighting  $m_{ud}, m_s$  + extrapolation  $\rightarrow$  physical  $m_{\pi}$  and  $m_K$ 



### Hadron spectrum in $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD Lattice 2015, Ukita for PACS Collaboration PoS(LATTICE2015)075

 $m_{\pi} \sim 0.145$  GeV on  $L \sim 8$  fm at  $a^{-1} = 2.33$  GeV (SPIRE Field 5) using reweighting  $m_{ud}, m_s$  + extrapolation  $\rightarrow$  physical  $m_{\pi}$  and  $m_K$ 

$$\bar{l}_3 = 2.87(62), \ \bar{l}_4 = 4.38(33)$$
  
FLAG2013:  $\bar{l}_3 = 3.05(99), \ \bar{l}_4 = 4.02(28)$  at  $\mu = m_\pi^{\text{phys}}$ 

$$m_{ud}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 3.142(26)(35)(28)\text{MeV}, \ m_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 88.59(61)(98)(79)\text{MeV}$$
  
FLAG2013:  $m_{ud}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 3.42(6)(7)\text{MeV}, \ m_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 93.8(1.5)(1.9)\text{MeV}$ 

 $f_{\pi} = 131.79(80)(90)(1.25) \text{MeV}, f_{K} = 155.55(68)(1.06)(1.48) \text{MeV}$ FLAG2013:  $f_{\pi} = 130.2(1.4) \text{MeV}, f_{K} = 156.3(0.9) \text{MeV}$ 

#### reasonably consistent

investigation of  $a \rightarrow 0$  limit necessary

## Introduction

Binding force  $\begin{cases} \text{protons and neutrons} \rightarrow \text{nuclei} \\ \text{quarks and gluons} \rightarrow \text{protons and neutrons} \end{cases}$ 

both from fundamental strong interaction of quark and gluon well known, but hard to prove

Spectrum of proton and neutron (nucleons) success of non-perturbative lattice QCD calculation degrees of freedom of quarks and gluons

quark and gluon  $\rightarrow$  proton and neutron  $\rightarrow$  nucleus

goal: quantitatively understand property of nucleus from QCD

So far not many studies for multi-baryon bound states  $\rightarrow$  Can we reproduce binding energy of light nuclei?

### Ultimate goal of lattice QCD



http://www.jicfus.jp/jp/promotion/pr/mj/2014-1/; figure from Irie-san

quantitatively understand property of nuclei from QCD

### Exploratory study of three- and four-nucleon systems PACS-CS Collaboration, PRD81:111504(R)(2010)



Several systematic errors included, e.g.,  $N_f = 0$ ,  $m_{\pi} = 0.8$  GeV

#### Multi-baryon bound state from lattice QCD

1.  $^{4}$ He and  $^{3}$ He

'10 PACS-CS  $N_f = 0$   $m_{\pi} = 0.8$  GeV PRD81:111504(R)(2010) '12 HALQCD  $N_f = 3$   $m_{\pi} = 0.47$  GeV,  $m_{\pi} > 1$  GeV <sup>4</sup>He '12 NPLQCD  $N_f = 3$   $m_{\pi} = 0.81$  GeV '12 TY et al.  $N_f = 2 + 1$   $m_{\pi} = 0.51$  GeV PRD86:074514(2012) '15 TY et al.  $N_f = 2 + 1$   $m_{\pi} = 0.30$  GeV PRD92:014501(2015)

2. H dibaryon in  $\Lambda\Lambda$  channel (S=-2, I=0)

'11, '12 NPLQCD  $N_f = 2 + 1$   $m_\pi = 0.39$  GeV,  $N_f = 3$   $m_\pi = 0.81$  GeV

'11, '12 HALQCD 
$$N_f$$
 = 3  $m_{\pi}$  = 0.47–1.02 GeV

'11 Luo et al.  $N_f = 0 \ m_{\pi} = 0.5 - 1.3 \ \text{GeV}$ 

'14, '15, '16 Mainz 
$$N_f=2~m_{\pi}=0.45, 1.0~{
m GeV}$$

3. NN

'11 PACS-CS  $N_f = 0$   $m_{\pi} = 0.8$  GeV PRD84:054506(2011) '12 NPLQCD  $N_f = 2 + 1$   $m_{\pi} = 0.39$  GeV (Possibility) '12 NPLQCD, '15 CalLat  $N_f = 3$   $m_{\pi} = 0.81$  GeV '12 TY *et al.*  $N_f = 2 + 1$   $m_{\pi} = 0.51$  GeV PRD86:074514(2012) '15 TY *et al.*  $N_f = 2 + 1$   $m_{\pi} = 0.30$  GeV PRD92:014501(2015) '15 NPLQCD  $N_f = 2 + 1$   $m_{\pi} = 0.45$  GeV

Other states:  $\Xi\Xi$ , '12 NPLQCD; spin-2  $N\Omega$ , <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>40</sup>Ca, '14 HALQCD, ···

### Calculation method of multi-nucleon bound state

Traditional method: example <sup>4</sup>He channel  
$$\langle 0|O_{4}_{He}(t)\overline{O}_{4}_{He}(0)|0\rangle = \sum_{n} \langle 0|O_{4}_{He}|n\rangle \langle n|\overline{O}_{4}_{He}|0\rangle e^{-E_{n}t} \xrightarrow[t\gg1]{} A_{0} e^{-E_{0}t}$$

Difficulties for multi-nucleon calculation

1. Statistical error Statistical error  $\propto \exp\left(N_N\left[m_N - \frac{3}{2}m_\pi\right]t\right)$ 

→ heavy quark  $m_{\pi} = 0.8-0.3$  GeV + large # of measurements 2. Calculation cost PACS-CS PRD81:111504(R)(2010) Wick contraction for <sup>4</sup>He =  $p^2n^2 = (udu)^2(dud)^2$ : 518400 → 1107 → reduction using  $p(n) \leftrightarrow p(n) \ p \leftrightarrow n$ ,  $u(d) \leftrightarrow u(d)$  in p(n)

+ block of 3 quark props(parallel) and contraction(workstation)

'12 Doi and Endres; Detmold and Orginos; '13 Günther et al.; '15 Nemura 3. Identification of bound state on finite volume

attractive scattering state  $\Delta E_L = E_0 - N_N m_N = O(L^{-3}) < 0$ 

'86,'91 Lüscher, '07 Beane et al.

 $\rightarrow$  Volume dependence of  $\Delta E_L \rightarrow \Delta E_\infty \neq 0 \rightarrow$  bound state

Spectral weight: '04 Mathur et al., Anti-PBC '05 Ishii et al.

Calculation method of multi-nucleon bound state Traditional method in lattice QCD (*NN* channel) nucleon correlation function

$$C_N(t) = \langle 0|N(t)\overline{N}(0)|0\rangle = \sum_n \langle 0|N|n\rangle \langle n|\overline{N}|0\rangle e^{-E_n^N t} \xrightarrow[t \ge t_N \gg 1]{} A_0^N e^{-m_N t}$$

NN correlation function

$$C_{NN}(t) = \langle 0|O_{NN}(t)\overline{O}_{NN}(0)|0\rangle = \sum_{n} \langle 0|O_{NN}|n\rangle \langle n|\overline{O}_{NN}|0\rangle e^{-E_{n}t}$$
$$\xrightarrow{t \ge t_{NN} \gg 1} A_{0} e^{-E_{NN}t}$$

Ratio of correlation functions

$$R(t) = \frac{C_{NN}(t)}{\left(C_N(t)\right)^2} \xrightarrow[t \ge t_R \gg 1]{} A'_0 e^{-\Delta Et}, \quad \Delta E = E_{NN} - 2m_N$$

Important condition:  $t_R \ge t_N, t_{NN}$ 

 $C_N(t)$  and  $C_{NN}(t)$  are written by each ground state in  $t \ge t_R$ 

## $R(t) = C_{NN}(t)/(C_N(t))^2$ in $N_f = 0$

Preliminary result:  $L^3 \times T = 20^3 \times 64 N_f = 0 m_{\pi} = 0.8$  GeV,  $N_{\text{meas}} \sim 1.1 \times 10^7$ 

Effective mass :  $m^{\text{eff}} = \log(C(t)/C(t+1)) \xrightarrow[t \gg 1]{} m$ 

Effective mass in  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  channel



vertical dashed line : plateau starts  $t_N$  or  $t_{NN}$ 

 $R(t) = C_{NN}(t)/(C_N(t))^2$  in  $N_f = 0$ 

Preliminary result:  $L^3 \times T = 20^3 \times 64 N_f = 0 m_{\pi} = 0.8$  GeV,  $N_{\text{meas}} \sim 1.1 \times 10^7$ 

Effective mass :  $m^{\text{eff}} = \log(C(t)/C(t+1)) \xrightarrow[t\gg1]{} m$ 

Effective mass in  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  channel





vertical dashed line : plateau starts  $t_N$  or  $t_{NN}$  or  $t_R$ 

## $R(t) = C_{NN}(t)/(C_N(t))^2$ in $N_f = 0$

Preliminary result:  $L^3 \times T = 20^3 \times 64 N_f = 0 m_{\pi} = 0.8$  GeV,  $N_{\text{meas}} \sim 1.1 \times 10^7$ 

Effective mass :  $m^{\text{eff}} = \log(C(t)/C(t+1)) \xrightarrow[t\gg1]{} m$ 

Effective mass in  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  channel



claim: different results from exp source and wall source '16 HALQCD

$$R(t) = C_{NN}(t)/(C_N(t))^2$$
 in  $N_f = 0$ 

Preliminary result:  $L^3 \times T = 20^3 \times 64 N_f = 0 m_{\pi} = 0.8$  GeV,  $N_{\text{meas}} \gtrsim 1.1 \times 10^7$ 

Effective mass in  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  channel



wall source needs longer t for plateau  $\leftarrow$  harder to calculate due to noise

consistent results in plateau region

$$R(t) = C_{NN}(t)/(C_N(t))^2$$
 in  $N_f = 0$ 

Preliminary result:  $L^3 \times T = 20^3 \times 64 N_f = 0 m_{\pi} = 0.8 \text{ GeV}, N_{\text{meas}} \gtrsim 1.1 \times 10^7$ 

#### Effective mass in ${}^{3}S_{1}$ channel



 $\Delta E_{NN}^{\text{eff}}$  of wall source : nontrivial structure (also observed in other volumes) consistent result with exp source in  $t \ge t_R$ exp source : easier to calculate  $\Delta E_{NN} \rightarrow$  used in our calculation

## Simulation parameters

 $N_f$  = 2+1 QCD  $\beta$  = 1.90,  $a^{-1}$  = 2.194 GeV with  $m_\Omega$  = 1.6725 GeV, '10 PACS-CS

Iwasaki gauge + non-perturbative O(a)-improved Wilson fermion actions

 $m_{\pi} = 0.51 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_N = 1.32 \text{ GeV}$  PRD86:074514(2012)

$$m_{\pi} = 0.30 \text{ GeV}$$
 and  $m_N = 1.05 \text{ GeV}$  PRD92:014501(2015)

 $m_s \sim$  physical strange quark mass

<sup>4</sup>He, <sup>3</sup>He, NN( $^{3}S_{1}$  and  $^{1}S_{0}$ )

|        |        | $m_{\pi} = 0.5$ GeV |       | $\mid m_{\pi} = 0.3 \text{ GeV} \mid$ |                   | $\mid R \mid$ |
|--------|--------|---------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| $\Box$ | L [fm] | $N_{\rm conf}$      | Nmeas | N <sub>conf</sub>                     | N <sub>meas</sub> |               |
| 32     | 2.9    | 200                 | 192   |                                       |                   |               |
| 40     | 3.6    | 200                 | 192   |                                       |                   |               |
| 48     | 4.3    | 200                 | 192   | 400                                   | 1152              | 12            |
| 64     | 5.8    | 190                 | 256   | 160                                   | 1536              | 5             |

 $R = (N_{\text{conf}} \cdot N_{\text{meas}})_{0.3 \text{GeV}} / (N_{\text{conf}} \cdot N_{\text{meas}})_{0.5 \text{GeV}}$ 

Exponential smeared source and point sink (N with p = 0) operators

Computational resources

PACS-CS, T2K-Tsukuba, HA-PACS, COMA at Univ. of Tsukuba

T2K-Tokyo and FX10 at Univ. of Tokyo, and K at AICS

#### Result: *NN* channels $\Delta E_{NN} = E_{NN} - 2m_N$



#### Effective energy shift $\Delta E_{NN}^{\text{eff}}$ in $m_{\pi} = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$

 $L^3 \to \infty$  extrapolation based on Lüscher's finite volume formula  $\Delta E_L = -\frac{\gamma^2}{m_N} \left\{ 1 + \frac{C_{\gamma}}{\gamma L} \sum_{\vec{n}}' \frac{\exp(-\gamma L \sqrt{\vec{n}^2})}{\sqrt{\vec{n}^2}} \right\}, \ \Delta E_{NN} = \frac{\gamma^2}{m_N}$ 

'04 Beane et al., '06 Sasaki & TY



gray data: single volume calculation

 $L^3 \rightarrow \infty$  extrapolation based on Lüscher's finite volume formula

$$\Delta E_L = -\frac{\gamma^2}{m_N} \left\{ 1 + \frac{C_{\gamma}}{\gamma L} \sum_{\vec{n}}' \frac{\exp(-\gamma L \sqrt{\vec{n}^2})}{\sqrt{\vec{n}^2}} \right\}, \ \Delta E_{NN} = \frac{\gamma^2}{m_N}$$
'04 Beane *et al.*, '06 Sasaki & TY

existence of bound states in  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  and  ${}^{1}S_{0}$ inconsistent with experiment due to larger  $m_{\pi}(?)$ Investigation of  $m_{\pi}$  dependence  $\rightarrow m_{\pi} \sim 0.145$  GeV on  $L \sim 8$  fm



Investigations of  $m_\pi$  dependence  $\rightarrow m_\pi \sim 0.145$  GeV on  $L \sim 8$  fm

Large finite volume effect expected even on  $L \sim 8$  fm

<sup>3</sup>S<sub>1</sub>: 
$$\Delta E_{exp} = 2.2 \text{ MeV}$$
  
 $\Delta E_L = -(\Delta E_{exp} + \mathcal{O}(exp(-L\sqrt{m_N\Delta E_{exp}}))) \lesssim -4 \text{ MeV}$   
<sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub>:  $a_0^{exp} = 23.7 \text{ fm}$   
 $\Delta E_L = -\frac{4\pi a_0^{exp}}{m_N L^3} + \mathcal{O}(1/L^4) \lesssim -2 \text{ MeV}$ 



Light nuclei likely formed in 0.3 GeV  $\leq m_{\pi} \leq$  0.8 GeV Same order of  $\Delta E$  to experiments  $\rightarrow$  relatively easier than NNlarge  $|\Delta E|$  makes less V dependence at physical  $m_{\pi}$ 

touchstone of quantitative understanding of nuclei from lattice QCD Investigations of  $m_{\pi}$  dependence  $\rightarrow m_{\pi} \sim 0.145$  GeV on  $L \sim 8$  fm

Preliminary results of effective  $\Delta E$  at  $m_{\pi} \sim 0.145$  GeV on  $L \sim 8$  fm



Computational resources (HPCI System Research Project: hp160124) HA-PACS, COMA @Univ. of Tsukuba, K @AICS, FX100 @RIKEN

## Summary

Direct calculation of light nucleus  $NN({}^{3}S_{1}, {}^{1}S_{0}), {}^{3}He, {}^{4}He$ 

 $N_f = 0 \text{ QCD } m_\pi = 0.8 \text{ GeV}$ 

Wall source gives consistent result with exp source, but not suitable for direct calculation

- hard to obtain clear signal in plateau region
- $\Delta E_{NN}^{\text{eff}}$ : non monotonic structure in small t region more sophisticated method (GEVP) necessary for more reliable result

#### $N_f = 2 + 1 \text{ QCD } m_{\pi} = 0.5, 0.3 \text{ GeV}$

bound state in  $^{4}\text{He},~^{3}\text{He},~^{3}\text{S}_{1}$  and  $^{1}\text{S}_{0}$ 

- $\Delta E$  larger than experiment and small  $m_{\pi}$  dependence
- Bound state in  ${}^{1}S_{0}$  not observed in experiment, but similar to

 $N_f = 3 m_{\pi} = 0.8$  GeV by NPLQCD and CalLat;  $N_f = 2 + 1 m_{\pi} = 0.45$  GeV by NPLQCD

Need further investigations of systematic errors

e.g. large  $m_{\pi}$ , finite lattice spacing, excited state

 $N_f$  = 2 + 1  $m_\pi \sim$  0.145 GeV on  $L \sim$  8 fm