Finite amplitude method for QRPA in three-dimensional coordinate

Kouhei Washiyama, Takashi Nakatsukasa



Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba

Introduction: Shape fluctuation

Goal: Bohr Hamiltonian, Constrained HFB + Local

QRPA

Method: Finite amplitude method in 3D QRPA

Result: Multipole strength, sum rule

Result: Triaxial nucleus This work was funded by ImPACT Program of Council for Science, Technology and Innovation (Cabinet Office, Government of Japan).

First Tsukuba-CCS-RIKEN joint workshop, Dec. 12-16, 2016 @ Tsukuba & Wako, Japan

Introduction: Shape fluctuation

A~100 nuclei

- Spherical→ deformed, soft, transitional
- Excited states

Shape fluctuations



Goal: 5D Bohr Hamiltonian

5D quadrupole collective Hamiltonian



Goal: Constrained HFB + Local QRPA

5D quadrupole collective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = T_{\text{vib}} + T_{\text{rot}} + V(\beta, \gamma)$$
$$T_{\text{vib}} = \frac{1}{2} D_{\beta\beta}(\beta, \gamma) \dot{\beta}^2 + D_{\beta\gamma}(\beta, \gamma) \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} D_{\gamma\gamma}(\beta, \gamma) \dot{\gamma}^2$$
$$T_{\text{rot}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \mathcal{J}_k(\beta, \gamma) \omega_k^2$$



 $V(\beta, \gamma)$ Constrained HFB with Skyrme energy density functional Three-dimension in β - γ plane

 $D_{\mu\nu}(\beta,\gamma)$ Local QRPA with Skyrme energy density functional $\mathcal{J}_k(\beta,\gamma)$ **3D QRPA** is necessary for β - γ dynamics

Aim of this talk

To construct a finite amplitude method (FAM) for 3D QRPA with self-consistent Skyrme EDF as a first step

Finite amplitude method: Efficient method to solve QRPA with a reasonable computational cost

Method: Quasi-particle RPA (QRPA)

QRPA equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & A^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} X \\ -Y \end{pmatrix}$$

- 1. Construct A and B matrix $A_{minj} = (\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i)\delta_{mn}\delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial h_{mi}}{\partial \rho_{nj}} \qquad B_{minj} = \frac{\partial h_{mi}}{\partial \rho_{jn}} \qquad \text{(for RPA)}$
- 2. Diagonalize A B matrix to obtain ω and (X,Y) amplitude
- Time-consuming computation $\frac{\delta h}{\delta \rho}$ (residual interaction)
- Diagonalization of big matrix A B ($\sim 10^{5-6}$)

Method: Finite amplitude method (FAM)

QRPA equation

$$(E_{\mu} + E_{\nu} - \omega)X_{\mu\nu} + \delta H^{20}(\omega) = -F^{20}_{\mu\nu}$$
$$(E_{\mu} + E_{\nu} + \omega)Y_{\mu\nu} + \delta H^{02}(\omega) = -F^{02}_{\mu\nu}$$

Nakatsukasa et al., PRC76 (2007) 024318 Avogadro & Nakatsukasa, PRC84(2011)014314 Stoitsov et al., PRC84 (2011) 041305 Liang et al., PRC87 (2013) 054310 Niksic et al., PRC88 (2013) 044327 Pei et al., PRC90 (2014) 051304

Finite amplitude method (FAM)

$$\begin{split} \delta h &= \frac{\delta h}{\delta \rho} \delta \rho \longrightarrow \delta h \approx \frac{h[\rho_0 + \eta \delta \rho] - h[\rho_0]}{\eta} \\ &\approx h[\delta \rho] & \underset{\text{Kortelainen et al., PRC92(2015)051302}}{\text{Explicit linearization}} \end{split}$$

Advantages:

- Avoid computing $\frac{\delta h}{\delta \rho}$
- $\bullet~\delta h$ can be computed by static HFB codes with slight change
- Avoid diagonalizing A, B: Iterative method

Method: Finite amplitude method (FAM)

QRPA equation

At each

ω

$$(E_{\mu} + E_{\nu} - \omega)X_{\mu\nu} + \delta H^{20}(\omega) = -F^{20}_{\mu\nu}$$
$$(E_{\mu} + E_{\nu} + \omega)Y_{\mu\nu} + \delta H^{02}(\omega) = -F^{02}_{\mu\nu}$$

 $X_{\mu\nu}, Y_{\mu\nu} \leftarrow$

 $\delta H^{20} = U^{\dagger} \delta h V^{*} - V^{\dagger} \delta h^{-1} U^{*} - V^{\dagger} \delta A^{-1} V^{0} \delta A^{-$

$$\delta H^{02} = U^T \delta h^T V - V^T \delta h U - V^T \delta \Delta V + U^T \overline{\delta \Delta}^* U.$$

$$\begin{split} \delta\rho &= UXV^T + V^*Y^TU^{\dagger} & \delta h(\omega) = h[\delta\rho] \\ \delta\kappa &= UXU^T + V^*Y^TV^{\dagger} & \delta\Delta(\omega) = \Delta[\delta\kappa] \\ \overline{\delta\kappa} &= V^*X^{\dagger}V^{\dagger} + UY^*U^T & \overline{\delta\Delta}(\omega) = \Delta[\delta\bar{\kappa}] \\ \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{ij,\sigma} \phi_i(\mathbf{r},\sigma) \ \delta\rho_{ij} \ \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r},\sigma) & \delta\kappa(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i\hat{j},\sigma=\pm 1} \sigma \ \phi_i(\mathbf{r},\sigma) \ \delta\kappa_{i\hat{j}} \ \phi_{\hat{j}}(\mathbf{r},-\sigma) \end{split}$$

 $X, Y, \delta H^{20}, \delta H^{02}, F^{20}, F^{02}, E$: Quasiparticle basis $\delta \rho, \delta \kappa, \overline{\delta \kappa}, h, \delta \Delta, \overline{\delta \Delta}$: Hartree-Fock basis

7/13

Setup: Coordinate, symmetry, base



Computer code for 3D-FAM is constructed from evb8 code (similar to ev8)

HF basis and quasi-particle basis are used Parity, isospin, and z-signature blocks

Skyrme EDF (SkM*, SLy4) (no tensor terms)

Contact volume pairing

 $\Delta x = 0.8 \text{ fm}$

Mesh: 13 x 13 x 13 – 18 x 18 x 18

Modified Broyden method for iteration $\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\gamma$ 0.5MeV smearing width

Benchmark: Spherical nucleus



Benchmark: Isoscalar quadrupole strength



Energy weighted sum rule value(E < 50MeV) 98% (K=0), 96% (K=2)

70 CPU hours, 2GB memory for 100 ω points 40-50 iterations at most

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega S(\omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{2} \langle [\hat{F}, [\hat{H}, \hat{F}]] \rangle \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^3 r |\nabla f(\mathbf{r})|^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned}$$

Benchmark: Deformed superfluid nucleus

¹⁰⁰Zr, prolate, monopole 100 Zr, $\beta = 0.43$, SLy4, Monopole $^{100}\mathrm{Zr},$ SLy4, THO, $\beta{=}0.389$ 400 (a) 400 $N_{n} = N_{p} = 560$ $\Delta_n=0.318 \text{ MeV}, \Delta_p=0.746 \text{ MeV}$ $\begin{array}{c} S(\omega) \left[e^{2} \ fm^{4} \ MeV^{-1} \right] \\ 000 \ 100 \ 00$ IS IS $(N_{sh} = 14)$ IS IV 300R = 14.0 fmIV 200V 100 0 2530 355101520400 25 35 40 10 15 20 30 5 0 ω (MeV) ω [MeV] Stoitsov et al., PRC84(2011)041305 Two-peak structure

Difference in height of the peaks

EWSR: 97% (IS)

Result: Triaxial nucleus

¹¹⁰Ru, β = 0.31, γ = 20°



Smearing width = 0.5 MeV

Ζ

z > x > y

Summary

3D FAM+QRPA with Skyrme EDF is ready

Benchmark

Spherical: ²⁰O

Deformed: ²⁴Mg, ¹⁰⁰Zr

Triaxial nucleus: ¹¹⁰Ru

Future plan

FAM+Local QRPA \rightarrow Mass inertia Bohr Hamiltonian



Result: Convergence on iteration

Modified Broyden method



Two-basis method for constrained HFB

- Hartree-Fock basis $\psi_i({f r},\sigma)$, canonical basis $\phi_a({f r},\sigma)$
- Imaginary-time method
- Three-dimensional space (Parity imposed)
- 1. Construct HFB matrix in HF basis $H = \begin{pmatrix} h - \lambda & \Delta \\ -\Delta^* & -h^* + \lambda \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Delta_{i\hat{j}} = \int d^3 r \Psi_{i\hat{j}}^*(\mathbf{r}) \Delta(\mathbf{r})$$
$$\Psi_{i\hat{j}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} (-1)^{1-\sigma} \psi_i(\mathbf{r},\sigma) \psi_{\hat{j}}(\mathbf{r},-\sigma)$$

Gall et al., Z.Phys.A348 (1994)

183

Internal iteration to fix the Fermi energy

2. Diagonalize $H \rightarrow U, V \rightarrow \rho, \kappa$

3. Diagonalize $\rho \rightarrow n_a, W_{ia} \quad \phi_a(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) W_{ia}$

4. Construct $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{a} n_{a} |\phi_{a}(\mathbf{r})|^{2}$, $h[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$, $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = V_{P}(\mathbf{r}) \sum_{k\hat{l}} \kappa_{k\hat{l}} \Psi_{k\hat{l}}(\mathbf{r})$

5. Imaginary-time evolution $\psi_i(\mathbf{r}, t + \Delta t) = \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta t}{\hbar}h(\rho)\right)\psi_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$