



PACS-CS Project and HPCI Strategic Field Program

CCS at U. Tsukuba / RIKEN AICS

Yoshinobu Kuramashi



Plan of talk



- §1. PACS-CS Project
- §2. Construction of Nuclei
- §3. Algorithmic Improvements
- §4. HPCI Strategic Field Program
- §5. Summary



§1. PACS-CS Project



Machine	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
PACS-CS (PC-cluster, 14TF)							
				$ \geq $			
	Jul. 20	 06~ 					
T2K-Tsukuba (PC-cluster, 95TF)							
						·	\geq
							Í l
					~F	eb. 2014	4
HA-PACS (GPU-cluster, 0.8PF)							
						1	
					fro	+3641F/ om fall o	f 2013
COMA (MIC-cluster 1PF)							
Photo is not yet available							
						A	.pr. 2014∽



Collaboration members



<u>Physicists:</u> S.Aoki, N.Ishizuka, D.Kadoh(→KEK), K.Kanaya, Y.Kuramashi, Y.Nakamura(→AICS), Y.Namekawa, Y.Taniguchi, N.Ukita, A.Ukawa, T.Yamazaki(→Nagoya), T.Yoshie	Tsukuba
KI. Ishikawa, M.Okawa	Hiroshima
T.Izubuchi	BNL
<u>Computer scientists:</u> T.Boku, M.Sato, D.Takahashi, Otatebe T.Sakurai, H.Tadano	Tsukuba



Science Target



2+1 flavor QCD simulation at the physical point

	PACS-CS	CP-PACS/JLQCD
Gauge action	Iwasaki	Iwasaki
Quark action	clover with NP c _{sw}	clover with NP c _{SW}
Lattice spacing a[fm]	≲0.1	0.07, 0.1, 0.122
Physical volume	≳(3 fm)3	∼(2 fm)3
m _{ud}	physical point	64 MeV (m _π ≈700 MeV)
Algorithm for ud	DDHMC with some improvements	НМС
Algorithm for s	UV-filtered exact PHMC	exact PHMC



Why physical point simulation?



In my slide @ CERN TH Institute 2010

- Difficult to trace chiral logs for chiral extrapolation
- ChPT is not always a good guiding principle
- Direct treatment of resonances based on phase shift
- Simulations with different up and down quark masses







Expected curvature from ChPT \Rightarrow Determination of LECs of SU(2) ChPT







consistent within $2 \sim 3\%$ error bars



What's Next?



Science target for post PACS-CS (T2K & K computer)

- $1+1+1(m_u \neq m_d \neq m_s)$ flavor QCD+QED simulation
 - EM interactions
 - u-d quark mass defference

Multi-physics toward precision measurement



- Hadron-Hadron interactions
 - Resonances ($\rho \rightarrow \pi \pi$ decay etc.)
 - Nuclei based on QCD

Multi-scale physics from quarks to nuclei



1+1+1 flavor QCD+QED



PACS-CS 12

Isospin symmetry breaking

- EM interaction Q_u=+2/3e, Q_d=Q_s=-1/3e, e=√4π/137 - u-d quark mass difference m_u=m_d≠m_s (2+1フレ−*i*×−) ⇒ m_u≠m_d≠m_s (1+1+1フレ−*i*×−)

Physical input:

 $m_{\pi^+}(ud), m_{K0}(ds), m_{K^+}(us), m_{\Omega^-}(sss)$

Output:

 m_u, m_d, m_s , lattice spacing, ...





K⁰-K⁺ mass difference



PACS-CS 12



Slope is consistent with exp. value 3.937(28) MeV within error bars



u, d, s quark masses



PACS-CS 12

Physical input: $m_{\pi^+}(ud)=139.7(15.5) [MeV]$ $m_{K0}(ds)=497.6(8.1) [MeV]$ $m_{K^+}(us)=492.4(8.1) [MeV]$ $m_{\Omega}(sss)$ is fixed at exp. value

exp: 139.6 [MeV] exp: 497.6 [MeV] exp: 493.7 [MeV] exp: 1672.5 [MeV]

Quark masses (MSbar scheme at μ =2 GeV): m_u =2.57(26)(07) [MeV] m_d =3.68(29)(10) [MeV] m_s =83.60(58)(2.23) [MeV]

Sizable finite size effects are expected in QCD+QED simulation π meson mass(140MeV)@QCD ⇔ photon(massless)@QED

⇒ Simulation with much larger lattice size on K computer Also useful for calculation of light nuclei and nuclear force



§2. Construction of Nuclei



We are now achieving a precision measurement of hadron masses Next step is a challenge for multi-scale physics



Exploratory study for ⁴He and ³He nuclei Yamazaki-YK-Ukawa 10,12 $\langle \mathcal{O}_{4_{\text{He}}}(t) \mathcal{O}_{4_{\text{He}}}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle \stackrel{t \gg 0}{\sim} C \exp(-m_{4_{\text{He}}}t)$ ⁴He: 2 proton+2 neutron \Rightarrow 12 quark propagators ³He: 2 proton+1 neutron \Rightarrow 9 quark propagators





Yamazaki-YK-Ukawa 10



First successful construction of helium nuclei \Rightarrow 2+1 flavor QCD with lighter quark masses



He Nuclei in 2+1 Flavor QCD



Yamazaki-YK-Ukawa 12

2+1 flavor QCD, m_{π}=0.5 GeV (0.14 GeV in nature), m_N=1.32 GeV $\Delta E_{4}_{He} = m_{4}_{He} - 4m_N$



ΔE remains finite in the infinite volume limit Successful construction of helium nuclei in 2+1 flavor QCD



NN Systems in 2+1 Flavor QCD



Yamazaki-YK-Ukawa 12

Results obtained in parallel with He calculation on the same configs



¹S₀ channel is also bound \Leftrightarrow ¹S₀ is scattering state in nature



Current Summary for NN Systems



Both ³S₁ and ¹S₀ channels are bound at heavy quark region



 $|\Delta E({}^{3}S_{1})| > |\Delta E({}^{1}S_{0})|$ is suggestive Important to check quark mass dependence

Target on K computer: construction of nuclei at the physical point



§3. Algorithmic Improvements



Trend of architecture

- PC cluster, multi-core, GPU, ...
 - hierarchical parallel structure
 - diminishing B/F

Algorithmic improvements following the architecture trend

- Key points:
 - use of mixed precision
 - reduction of communication

PACS-CS (06~11)





Mixed precision nested BiCGStab Modified blocked BiCGStab Domain-Decomposed HMC(DDHMC)

Developed on PACS-CS and T2K-Tsukuba





Solver Improvement



Bottle neck for iterative solver of linear eqs. memory bandwidth Byte/Flop ≈ 2.1 in MatVec Dx

Advantage in 32bit arithmetic is effective use of

- memory and network bandwidth
- cache size

Maximum use of 32bit arithmetic with the solution kept in 64bit

1: x:initial guess (64 bit)iterative refinement 2: r = b - Dx(64 bit)3: convert $r_{32} := r$ $(64\text{bit} \rightarrow 32\text{bit})$ 4: solve $\delta x_{32} = D^{-1}r_{32}$ (32bit)5: convert $\delta x := \delta x_{32}$ $(32bit \rightarrow 64bit)$ 6: $r = r - D\delta x$ (64 bit)7: $x = x + \delta x$ (64 bit)the relation r = b - Dx is kept in 64bit 8: if |r| is small end else goto 3



Mixed Precision Nested BiCGStab





- Converged after 1.5 outer iteration
- Almost all the computational cost is spent by 32bit arithmetic
- Time is reduced by a factor 2 on PACS-CS (though, iteration number is slightly increased)



Modified Block BiCGStab (1)



Nakamura et al. 12

A solver algorithm for linear eqs with multiple right-hand sides $Dx^{(i)}=b^{(i)}$ (i=1,...,L) \Rightarrow DX=B



Basic idea: blocked version searches the solution vectors with the enlarged Krylov subspace

21



Modified Block BiCGStab (2)



Performance test on T2K-Tsukuba using 10 configs $Dx^{(i)}=b^{(i)}$ (i=1,...,12)

$L \times 12/L$	Time [s]	T (gain)	NMVM	NM (gain)
1 × 12	3827 (755)	1	17146 (3326)	
2×6	2066 (224)	1.9	12942 (1379)	1.3
3×4	1619 (129)	2.4	10652 (832)	1.6
4×3	1145 (99)	3.3	9343 (835)	1.8
6×2	1040 (87)	3.7	7888 (663)	2.2
12 × 1	705 (70)	5.4	6106 (633)	2.8

T(gain) > NM(gain) is thanks to effective use of cache





Domain-Decomposed Hybrid Monte Carlo (DDHMC) Lüscher 03 4-dim. lattice is decomposed into small blocks 0000000000000 \Rightarrow introduction of hierarchy 000 $\frac{d}{d\tau}P_{\mu}(n,\tau) = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{HMC}}}{\delta U_{\mu}(n,\tau)}$ $= F_{\mu}^{\mathrm{UV}}(n,\tau) + F_{\mu}^{\mathrm{IR}}(n,\tau) + \cdots$ domain full lattice (single core) (many nodes) 0000000000 F_{μ}^{UV} : $x = (D_{UV}[U_{\mu}])^{-1} b$ within domain \Rightarrow small condition number w/o communication

 F_{μ}^{IR} : $x = (D_{IR}[U_{\mu}])^{-1} b$ on full lattice

⇒ large condition number w/ communication



Multiple Time Step MD Integrator



Sexton-Weingarten 92

Adjust step size according to the magnitude of force

 $\delta\tau^{\rm UV}||F_{\mu}^{\rm UV}||\approx\delta\tau^{\rm IR}||F_{\mu}^{\rm IR}||$

For example



Less frequent calculation of $F_{\mu}^{IR} \Rightarrow$ save computational cost



Cost Reduction due to DDHMC





⇒ Physical point simulation is possible on PACS-CS and T2K-Tsukuba



§4. HPCI Strategic Field Program



Scientific target

- 2+1 flavor QCD \Rightarrow 1+1+1 flavor QCD+QED
- Various physical quantities
- Investigation of resonances
- Direct construction of light nuclei
- Determination of baryon-baryon potentials







Both ³S₁ and ¹S₀ channels are bound at heavy quark region



 $|\Delta E({}^{3}S_{1})| > |\Delta E({}^{1}S_{0})|$ is suggestive Important to check quark mass dependence

Target on K computer: construction of nuclei at the physical point



Baryon-Baryon Potentials



HAL-QCD @FB12

2+1 flavor QCD, lattice size= $32^3 \times 64$, m_{π}=0.70, 0.57, 0.41 GeV



Attractive phase shift, though the magnitude is just 10% of exp. Value No bound state (He, NN) ⇔ inconsistency against the direct method Phase shift becomes smaller, as quark mass decreases ⇒ need direct comparison with exp. values at the physical point



Collaboration members



N.Ishii, N.Ishizuka, Y.Kuramashi, Y.Namekawa, H.Nemura, K.Sasaki, Y.Taniguchi, N.Ukita

T.Hatsuda, T.Doi

T.Yamazaki

S.Aoki

Y.Nakamura

K.-I.Ishikawa

RIKEN-Wako

Nagoya

Tsukuba

Kyoto

RIKEN-AICS

Hiroshima

HAL QCD Collab. joins to determine baryon-baryon potential





- 2+1 flavor QCD
- Wilson-clover quark action + Iwasaki gauge action
- Stout smearing with α =0.1 and N_{smear}=6
- NP C_{SW} =1.11 determined by SF
- β =1.82 \Rightarrow a \sim 0.1 fm
- Lattice size=96⁴ \Rightarrow (~9 fm)⁴
- Hopping parameters: $(\kappa_{ud}, \kappa_s) = (0.126117, 0.124790)$
- Simulation algorithm
 - (HB)²DDHMC w/ active link for ud quarks, UVPHMC for s quark
 - Block size= $12^4 \Rightarrow (\sim 1 \text{ fm})^4$
 - HB parameters: $(\rho_1, \rho_2) = (0.99975, 0.9940)$
 - Multi-time scale integrator: $(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4, N_5) = (15, 2, 2, 2, 8)$
 - trajectory length: τ=1
 - N_{poly}=310
 - Chronological inverter guess: N_{chrono}=16
 - Solver: mixed precision nested BiCGStab





Taniguchi @Lattice2012

Schördinger functional method

- L³×T=8³×16 (L³×T=12³×24 for volume dependence check)
- Choose β such that the lattice spacing becomes around 0.1 fm



C_{SW}=1.11 at β=1.82 ⇒ 1/a~2.1 GeV κ_c is close to 0.125 at β=1.82

31



Performance on K computer



- Kernel (MatVec) performance: >50%
- Solver performance: ~26% (mixed precision nested BiCGStab)
- Weak scaling test

B/F=0.5 on K computer

- $6^3 \times 12$ /node fixed
- 16 nodes (V=12³×24) \Rightarrow 12288 nodes (V=48×72×96²)



#node	scalability		
16 ⇒ 256	98%		
256 ⇒ 2048	98%		
2048 ⇒ 12288	96%		





Hadron spectrum in comparison with experiment (normalized by m_{Ω})



Further tuning to the physical point is planned with reweighting method Clear deviation is already observed for unstable particles (ρ ,K*)



ρ Meson Effective Mass



Decay channel is open: $m_{\rho}>2\sqrt{\{m_{\pi}^2+(\pi/48)^2\}}$



It looks hard to find a reasonable plateau Analysis of 2×2 correlation matrix (ρ , $\pi\pi$) is necessary



Summary



Historical role of PACS-CS/T2K-Tsukuba

- Achievement of physical point simulation
- Beginning of precision measurement with EM and u-d quark mass difference
- One-body study of hadron ⇒ Hadron-hadron interaction including Nuclei

Peak	Machine	Scientific Target
<1TF class	CP-PACS	Development of 2+1 flavor QCD simulation
10TFclass	PACS-CS	Physical point simulation
100TFclass	T2K- Tsukuba	Development of 1+1+1flavor QCD+QED simulation Construction of Nuclei with heavy m _{ud}
1PF class 10PF class	HA-PACS K computer	Large scale simulation of 1+1+1 flavor QCD+QED Construction of Nuclei at the physical point