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## Microscopic structure theories

- Ab-inito-type approaches
- GFMC, NCSM, CCM, etc.
- Computationally very demanding for heavier nuclei
- Shell model approaches
- CI calculation in a truncated space
- Difficulties in cross-shell excitations
- Microscopic cluster models
- RGM, GCM, etc.
- Interaction is tuned for each nucleus
- Energy density functional approaches
- New configuration-mixing (multi-ref.) calculation


## Toward low-energy complete spectroscopy

## Shinohara, Ohta, Nakatsukasa, Yabana, PRC 84, 054315 (2006)

- Beyond the mean field
- Correlations, excited states
- Beyond (Q)RPA
- States very different from the g.s.
- Beyond GCM
- Lift a priori generator coordinates

Toward the theoretical complete spectroscopy of lowlying states with an effective Hamiltonian and with a very large model space:


## Configuration mixing with parity and angular momentum projection

1. Generation and selection of Slater det's in the 3D Cartesian Coordinate space

$$
\left\{\Phi^{i}\right\} \quad(i=1, \cdots, N)
$$

2. Projection on good $J^{\pi}$ (3D rotation)

$$
\left|\Phi_{M K}^{J}\right\rangle=P^{ \pm} P_{M K}^{J}|\Phi\rangle
$$

3. Solution of generalized eigenvalue eq.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{H}^{J \pm}-E \mathbf{N}^{J \pm}\right) \mathbf{g}=0 \\
& H_{n K}^{J \pm n^{\prime} K^{\prime}}=\left\langle\Phi^{n}\right|\left\{\begin{array}{c}
H \\
N_{n K, r^{\prime} K^{\prime}}^{J J}
\end{array}\right\} P^{ \pm} P_{K K}^{J}\left|\Phi^{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## Imaginary-time evolution



- Quickly removing high-energy (highmomentum) components
- Slowly moving on low-energy collective surface
- Finding local minima

Efficient method to construct configurations associated with many kinds of low-energy collective motions

## Generation of basis states: Imaginary-time method in 3D coordinate space

Long-range correlations in terms of the configuration mixing

$$
\text { Imaginary-time Method } \quad\left|\phi_{i}^{(n+1)}\right\rangle=e^{-\Delta t h[\rho]}\left|\phi_{i}^{(n)}\right\rangle, \quad i=1, \cdots A
$$

A well-known method in the Skyrme HF calculations


3D space is discretized in lattice
Single-particle orbital:

$$
\phi_{i}(\mathbf{r})=\left\{\phi_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}_{k}\right)\right\}_{k=1, \cdots M r}, \quad i=1, \cdots, N
$$

## Generation of many S-det's



$$
\left|\phi_{i}^{(n+1)}\right\rangle=e^{-\Delta t h[\rho]}\left|\phi_{i}^{(n)}\right\rangle, \quad i=1, \cdots A
$$



## Screening of Slater determinants

Every one-hundred iterations,
we pick up a Slater determinant $\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle$ $\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle$ is adopted as the $(\mathrm{M}+1)$-th basis configuration, if it satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\Phi_{i}\right| H\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle<E_{\mathrm{HF}}+30 \mathrm{MeV} \\
& \left\langle\Phi_{i} \mid \Phi_{j}\right\rangle<0.7 \quad(j=1, \cdots M)
\end{aligned}
$$



## 3D angular momentum projection

Parity and angular momentum projected state

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\Psi_{M}^{J( \pm)}\right\rangle=\frac{2 J+1}{8 \pi^{2}} \sum_{K} g_{K} \int d \Omega D_{M K}^{J^{*}}(\Omega) \hat{R}(\Omega)\left|\Phi^{( \pm)}\right\rangle \\
& \hat{\mathrm{R}}(\Omega)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha \hat{\mathrm{~J}}_{\mathrm{z}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \beta \hat{\mathrm{~J}}_{\mathrm{y}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \gamma \hat{\mathrm{~J}}_{\mathrm{z}}} \quad \text { Parity-projected SD }
\end{aligned}
$$



Construct the angular momentum eigenstate by the explicit 3D rotation

## Further Selection ...

Eigenvalues of the norm matrix

$$
\}
$$

$$
N_{n K, m K^{\prime}}^{J \pm}=\left\langle\Phi^{n}\right| P_{K K^{\prime}}^{J} P^{ \pm}\left|\Phi^{m}\right\rangle
$$ smaller than $10^{-3}$



Garbage box



## Numerical detail

- Three-dimensional (3D) Cartesian mesh
- Mesh size: 0.8 fm
- All the mesh points inside the sphere of radius of 8 fm
- Euler angles
- Discretization
$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=(18,30,18)$ points
- Numerical difficulties
- Limiting number of SD
- 50 Slater determinantns
- About 10 h computation time 8.0 fm with the use of 512 processors


## How complete is the calculation?



- Ten different sets of Slater determinants, generated with different random numbers.
- Low-energy spectra within several hundred keV
- Transition strength within about 10 \%
${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$

, .......(10 sets)
${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\text {(Sly } 4)}$
Exp: M. Chernykh et al., PRL 98,032501 (2007)
AMD: Y. Kanada-En'yo, PTP117,655(2007)
GCM: E. Uegaki, et al., PTP57,4 (1977)1262
RGM: M. Kamimura, NPA351,456-480(1981)
NCSM : P. Navrátil and W. E. Ormand, PRC 68, 034305 (2003)

$B(E 2)$ in units of $e^{2 f m}{ }^{4}$
Calculation assuming three-alpha clusters

Tuning of the interaction

POSITIVE parity

Hoyle state : $0_{2}{ }^{+}$

41.2\%

36.1\%
31.7\%
28.9\%
superposition of many SDs
present


Ground state

89.8\%
86.9\%
86.2\%
:
70\% for HF state
$\checkmark$ Correlation energy is 5 MeV
$\checkmark$ Hoyle state is around 9 MeV
$\checkmark$ Ground-state rotational band

## ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ Negative-parity excited states



Overlap

$$
K^{\pi}=1^{-}
$$

$$
1_{1}^{-}: 77 \%
$$

$$
2_{1}^{-}: 75 \%
$$

$$
K^{\pi}=3^{-}
$$

$$
3_{1}^{-}: 81 \%
$$

$4_{1}^{-}: 76 \%$

Reliable results for the lowest state in each $J^{\pi}$ Similar to the AMD result

## Hoyle state

## Radius

| $J^{\pi}$ | present | AMD FMD | $3 \alpha$ RGM | BEC $3 \alpha \mathrm{GCM}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0_{1}^{+}$ | $2.53 \pm 0.03$ | 2.53 | 2.39 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 2.40 |  |
| $0_{2}^{+}$ | $2.72 \pm 0.003$ | 3.27 | 3.38 | 3.47 | 3.83 | 3.40 |  |
| $0_{3}^{+}$ | $3.15 \pm 0.02$ | 3.98 | 4.62 |  |  | 3.52 | Hoyle state |
| $2_{1}^{+}$ | $2.61 \pm 0.002$ | 2.66 | 2.50 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 2.36 |  |

Exp, FMD: M. Chernykh et al., PRL 98,032501 (2007)
AMD: Y. Kanada-En'yo, PTP117,655(2007)
GCM: E. Uegaki, et al., PTP57,4 (1977)1262
RGM: M. Kamimura, NPA351,456-480(1981)
Monopole transition

$$
M\left(E 0 ; 0_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{2}^{+}\right)=4.5 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{fm}^{2}
$$

$5.4 \pm 0.2 \quad$ Experiment
6.5-6.7 Other cal. based on the gaussian anzats

## POSITIVE parity



$\checkmark$ correlation energy is about 3 MeV

## ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ Positive-parity states



Excitation energies are significantly lower than AMD.



1p1h excitations
$\checkmark$ particle-hole excitation is good agreement with experimental values

## ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}$ : Positive-parity states



- Well reproduce $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{E} 2)$ values
- Too large moment of inertia


## ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}:$ Negative-parity states



## Computational cost of finite range interaction

■ Skyrme interaction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\Phi| \widehat{V_{t 0}^{F}}|\Phi\rangle=-\frac{t_{0}}{2} x_{0} \sum_{i, j}\left\langle\phi_{i} \phi_{j}\right| \delta\left(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2}\right) \hat{P}_{r} \hat{P}_{\sigma} \hat{r}_{\tau}\left|\phi_{i} \phi_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{t_{0}}{2} x_{0} \sum_{\tau} \int d \vec{r} \rho(\vec{r})^{2} \quad \rho(\vec{r})=\sum_{i, \sigma} \phi_{i}^{*}(\vec{r}, \sigma) \phi_{i}(\vec{r}, \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Computational cost : $N_{x}^{3} \times \underline{N_{i}}$

## ■ Gogny interaction



$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle\Phi| \widehat{V_{W_{l}}^{F}}|\Phi\rangle=-\frac{W_{l}}{2} \sum_{\tau} \int d \vec{r} \int d \vec{r}^{\prime} \rho\left(\vec{r} \sigma, \vec{r}^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}, \vec{r} \sigma\right) \exp \left\{-\left(\vec{r}-\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \mu_{l}^{2}\right\} \\
\rho\left(\vec{r} \sigma, \vec{r}^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}\right) \equiv \sum_{i, \sigma} \phi_{i}^{*}(\vec{r}, \sigma) \phi_{i}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { Computational cost : } N_{x}^{6} \times N_{i}
\end{array}
$$

$\checkmark$ Same scaling of orbit as the case of Skyrme interaction
$\checkmark$ scaling of space is power of two

## Method 1: finite spherical lattice

$W_{l}$ Fock term

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{W_{l}}^{F}=-\frac{W_{l}}{2} \sum_{\tau} \int d \vec{r} \int d \vec{r}^{\prime} \rho\left(\vec{r} \sigma, \vec{r}^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}, \vec{r} \sigma\right) \exp \left\{-\left(\vec{r}-\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \mu_{l}^{2}\right\} \\
\rho\left(\vec{r} \sigma, \vec{r}^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}\right) \equiv \sum_{i, \sigma} \phi_{i}^{*}(\vec{r}, \sigma) \underline{\phi_{i}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

The range of Gogny interaction is about 4 fm .
it is sufficient to integrate $r^{\prime}$ inside 4fm sphere.
Numerical cost : $N_{x}^{3} \times M \times N_{i}$
cf. Skyrme interaction

$$
N_{x}^{3} \times N_{i}
$$

$\checkmark$ Same scaling as the case of Skyrme interaction, except M


## positive parity



$\checkmark$ Energy spectrum is almost same

- Complete low-lying spectroscopy with the Skyrme Hamiltonian
- Capable of describing various excited states in a unified way


## Problems

- $2^{\text {nd }} 0^{+}$state in ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$
- Energy too high by about 3 MeV
- B(E2) Underestimated
- Center of mass? Weak-coupling phenomena?
- Moment of inertia of ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}$
- Too large
- Pairing?
- Hoyle state in ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$
- Too small radius? Effect of the spin-orbit interaction?

Future issues

- Coordinate-space calculation with finite-range interaction
- Reaction studies

