Current status for two baryon systems in lattice QCD II: HAL QCD potential method and diagnosis of the direct method

Takumi Iritani (RIKEN)

December 16, 2016 @ CCS Tsukuba Univ.

Refs TI for HAL Coll., **JHEP 1610(2016)101**[arXiv:1607.06371], **PoS(Lattice2016)107**[arXiv:1610.09779], **PoS(Lattice2015)089**[arXiv:1511.05246].



• S. Aoki, K. Sasaki, D. Kawai, T. Miyamoto (YITP) • T. Doi, T. Hatsuda (RIKEN) • T. Inoue (Nihon Univ.) • N. Ishii, Y. Ikeda, K. Murano (RCNP) • H. Nemura (Univ. of Tsukuba) • S. Gongyo (Univ. of Tours) • F. Etminan (Univ. of Birjand)

Goal: Hadron Interaction from QCD

1. **Lüscher's finite volume method**

   energy shift of two-particle in **"box"** ▶ phase shift

$$\Delta E_L = 2\sqrt{k^2+m^2} - 2m \Longrightarrow k\cot\delta(k) = \frac{1}{\pi L}\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{n}|^2-(kL/2\pi)^2}$$

practically, "plateau-like" structure of ΔE_L would be "fake"

elastic states contaminations

$\Longrightarrow$ **"fake"** signals

unreasonable volume dep.

shallow bound but volume indep.





Goal: Hadron Interaction from QCD

1 Lüscher's finite volume method

energy shift of two-particle in **"box"** ▶ phase shift

$$\Delta E_L = 2\sqrt{k^2+m^2} - 2m \implies k\cot\delta(k) = \frac{1}{\pi L}\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{n}|^2-(kL/2\pi)^2}$$

2 HAL QCD method

use "spatial correlations" for the information of the interaction

Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter Wavefunc. → Potential → phase shift







(Original) HAL QCD Method

■ Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter wave function

$$\psi_k(\vec{r}) = \langle 0|B(\vec{x}+\vec{r},0)B(\vec{x},0)|BB, W_k\rangle$$

- asymptotic region — $r > R$

$$\psi_k(\vec{r}) \simeq C\frac{\sin(kr - l\pi/2 + \delta(k))}{kr}$$

- interacting region — $r < R$

$$[E_k - H_0]\,\psi_k(\vec{r}) = \int d\vec{r'}\,U(\vec{r},\vec{r'})\psi_k(\vec{r'})$$



$U(r,r')$: E-independent potential, which is faithful to **the phase shift**

☐ we calculate **4-pt function**

$$R(\vec{r},t) \equiv \frac{\langle 0|T\{B(\vec{x}+\vec{r},t)B(\vec{x},t)\}\overline{\mathcal{J}}(0)|0\rangle}{\{G_B(t)\}^2}$$

$$= \sum_n A_n\psi_{W_n}(\vec{r})e^{-(W_n-2m_B)t} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\Delta W_{\rm th}t})$$

$$\longrightarrow A_0\psi_{W_0}(\vec{r})e^{-(W_0-2m_B)t}$$



$\Rightarrow$ g.s. saturation is required !!

Time-dependent HAL QCD Method

■ **Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter wave function**



$$R(\vec{r}, t) \equiv \frac{\langle 0|T\{B(\vec{x}+\vec{r}, t)B(\vec{x}, t)\}\overline{\mathcal{J}}(0)|0\rangle}{\{G_B(t)\}^2}$$

$$= \sum_n A_n \psi_{W_n}(\vec{r}) e^{-(W_n - 2m_B)t} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\Delta W_{\rm th} t})$$

■ scattering states share **the same** $U(r, r')$

they are *not contaminations*, but **signals**

$$[E_{W_0} - H_0]\,\psi_{W_0}(\vec{r}) = \int d\vec{r'} U(\vec{r}, \vec{r'}) \psi_{W_0}(\vec{r'})$$

$$[E_{W_1} - H_0]\,\psi_{W_1}(\vec{r}) = \int d\vec{r'} U(\vec{r}, \vec{r'}) \psi_{W_1}(\vec{r'})$$

$$[E_{W_2} - H_0]\,\psi_{W_2}(\vec{r}) = \int d\vec{r'} U(\vec{r}, \vec{r'}) \psi_{W_2}(\vec{r'})$$

$$\vdots$$

Time-dependent HAL QCD Method



■ **Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter wave function**

$$R(\vec{r},t) \equiv \frac{\langle 0|T\{B(\vec{x}+\vec{r},t)B(\vec{x},t)\}\overline{\mathcal{J}}(0)|0\rangle}{\{G_B(t)\}^2}$$
$$= \sum_n A_n \psi_{W_n}(\vec{r}) e^{-(W_n-2m_B)t} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\Delta W_{\rm th} t})$$

■ $R(r,t)$ satisfies

$$\left[\frac{1}{4m_B}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_0\right] R(\vec{r},t) = \int d\vec{r'} U(\vec{r},\vec{r'}) R(\vec{r'},t)$$

with **elastic** saturation — exponentially easier than g.s. saturation

▶ **"potential"** by velocity expansion of $U(r,r') \simeq V(r)\delta(r-r')$

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{4m_B}\frac{(\partial/\partial t)^2 R(\vec{r},t)}{R(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{(\partial/\partial t)R(\vec{r},t)}{R(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{H_0 R(\vec{r},t)}{R(\vec{r},t)}$$

▶ **This method does not require the ground state saturation.**

Lattice Setup: Wall Source and Smeared Source

☐ ex. $\Xi\Xi(^1S_0)$ **interaction** from HAL QCD methods

27 multiplet — the same rep. as $NN(^1S_0)$

☐ CHECK **2 quark sources** — mixture of excited states are different

- **wall source**

  standard of HAL QCD

- **smeared source**

  standard of direct method†



■ setup — $2+1$ improved Wilson + Iwasaki gauge†

- lattice spacing: $a = 0.08995(40)$ fm, $a^{-1} = 2.194(10)$ GeV
- lattice volume: $32^3 \times 48$, $40^3 \times 48$, $48^3 \times 48$, and $64^3 \times 64$

$m_\pi = 0.51$ GeV, $m_N = 1.32$ GeV, $m_K = 0.62$ GeV, $m_\Xi = 1.46$ GeV

† Yamazaki-Ishikawa-Kuramashi-Ukawa, arXiv:1207.4277.

HAL: Potential of $\Xi\Xi(^1S_0)$ Smeared Src. vs Wall Src.

NBS wavefunction: $R^{\mathrm{smear}}(r,t)$ or $R^{\mathrm{wall}}(r,t)$

$$V_c(r) = \frac{1}{4m}\frac{(\partial^2/\partial t^2)R}{R} - \frac{(\partial/\partial t)R}{R} - \frac{H_0 R}{R}$$



■ **smeared src.** t-dependent



■ **wall src.** t-independent



HAL: Potential of $\Xi\Xi(^1S_0)$ Smeared Src. vs Wall Src.

- **wall src.** — good convergence
- **smeared src.** — t-dep.
- **smeared src.** $\longrightarrow$ **wall src.** for large t







Residual Diff. of Pot.: Next Leading Order Correction

- LO $\Rightarrow$ $U(r, r') = [V_{\text{eff}}(r)]\delta(r - r')$
- NLO $\Rightarrow$ $U(r, r') = [V_{\text{LO}}(r) + V_{\text{NLO}}(r)\nabla^2]\delta(r - r')$

▶ **HAL method works well**

— good convergence in non-locality of $U(r, r')$ for low energy,

NLO correction appears in **smeared src.**

☐ Leading order approximation



☐ Next leading order correction



Inelastic Contamination of wall source?

in fact, single baryon saturation of **wall src.** is later than **smeared src.**

✓ **CHECK** saturation and t-dependence of $V_C(r)$ carefully
— **OK!**



HAL with Lüscher: Energy Shift from Potential

- HAL QCD works well **w/o g.s. saturation problem**

  HAL QCD potential $\Longrightarrow$ true **"energy shift"** in finite volume

▶ Eigenequation in finite volume L^3 with HAL QCD potential $V(\vec{r})$

$$[H_0 + V]\,\psi = \Delta E \psi$$

☐ eigenvalue $\Delta E_0 \propto 1/L^3 \longrightarrow 0 \Longrightarrow$ **scattering** by **Lüscher's formula**

- potential $V(r)$





HAL with Lüscher: Energy Shift from Potential

- HAL QCD works well **w/o g.s. saturation problem**

  HAL QCD potential $\Longrightarrow$ true **"energy shift"** in finite volume

▶ Eigenequation in finite volume L^3 with HAL QCD potential $V(\vec{r})$

$$[H_0 + V]\,\psi = \Delta E \psi$$

☐ eigenvalue $\Delta E_0 \propto 1/L^3 \longrightarrow 0 \Longrightarrow$ **scattering** by **Lüscher's formula**

$\Rightarrow$ consistent with **potential analysis** — $\Xi\Xi(^1S_0)$ unbound (at $m_\pi = 0.51$GeV)





Sanity Check

✓ phase shift from Lüscher's formula shows **reasonable behavior**

$\Rightarrow$ also consistent with results from potential for $k^2 > 0$





Wavefunc. → Potential → Eigenenergies and Eigenfuncs.

1. Potential



■ **Solve**

Schrödinger eq.
in Finite Volume

2. Eigen-wave functions



■ **HAL QCD method** ⇑

0. NBS correlator



■ **Feedback**

decompose
by eigenmodes

2. Eigen-energies

n	ΔE_n [MeV]
g.s.	-2.58(1)
1st	52.49(2)
2nd	112.08(2)
3rd	169.78(2)
4th	224.73(2)

Contaminations of Excited States in Correlator

HAL pot. ▶ eigenfunc/value Ψ_n, ΔE_n ▶ eigenmode decomposition

$$R^{\mathrm{wall/smear}}(\vec{r},t) = \sum_n a_n^{\mathrm{wall/smear}} \Psi_n(\vec{r}) \exp\left(-\Delta E_n t\right)$$

$$\therefore R(t) \equiv R(\vec{p}=0,t) = \sum_r R(\vec{r},t) = \sum_n b_n^{\mathrm{wall/smear}} e^{-\Delta E_n t}$$

□ ex. **1st excited state**

- **wall source**
  $b_1/b_0 \ll 0.01$
- **smeared source**†
  $b_1/b_0 \simeq -0.1$

- with energy gap
  $E_1 - E_0 \simeq 50$ MeV
  for $L^3 = 48^3$

†unfilled symbols: $b_n/b_0 < 0$

"contamination" of excited states b_n/b_0



Diagnosis of Fake Plateau

$$\Delta E_{\rm eff}^{\rm wall/smear}(t) \equiv \log \frac{R(t)}{R(t+1)} = \log \frac{\sum_n b_n^{\rm wall/smear} \exp\left(-\Delta E_n t\right)}{\sum_n b_n^{\rm wall/smear} \exp\left(-\Delta E_n (t+1)\right)}$$

- "direct measurement" — reproduced by low-lying modes



Diagnosis of Fake Plateau

$$\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^{\text{wall/smear}}(t) \equiv \log \frac{R(t)}{R(t+1)} = \log \frac{\sum_n b_n^{\text{wall/smear}} \exp\left(-\Delta E_n t\right)}{\sum_n b_n^{\text{wall/smear}} \exp\left(-\Delta E_n (t+1)\right)}$$

■ "direct measurement" — reproduced by low-lying modes

□ **g.s. saturation** of smeared source — **100 lattice units $\sim$ 10 fm !!!**





Direct method reinforced by HAL method

generalized direct method

$$\overline{R}^{(f)}(t) = \sum_r f(r) R(r,t) = \sum_r f(r) \frac{\sum_x \left\langle 0 | B(r+x,t) B(x,t) \overline{\mathcal{J}(0)} | 0 \right\rangle}{\{G(t)\}^2}$$

using $f(r)$ — eigen-wave func. by HAL QCD potential at finite vol.

$\Longrightarrow$ Direct calc. (**wall**/**smeared**) = HAL QCD method

$f(r) = \Psi^\dagger_{\text{g.s.}}(r)$



$f(r) = \Psi^\dagger_{\text{1st}}(r)$



Summary: Nuclear Physics from Lattice QCD

- naïve **"Direct calculation"** of multibaryon from lattice QCD
  — **ground state saturation** is **extremely** difficult
  - scattering states contamination $\Longrightarrow$ **"fake signal"**

  [Talk by S. Aoki (Friday)]

- only **HAL QCD method** works well **without g.s. saturation**
  HAL QCD $\Longrightarrow$ **"correct"** ΔE_L and input of **Lüscher's formula**

- **NBS wavefunc.** + **"potential"** $\Longrightarrow$ diagnosis of contaminations
  and the oigin of **fake plateau**

- **HAL QCD at physical quark mass** is now ongoing
  systematic understandings of baryon interactions based on QCD

  [Talk by T. Doi (Tuesday)]

4 Appendix

Demo: Contamination of Scattering State

Mock up data

$$R(t) = b_0 e^{-\Delta E_{\rm BB} t} + b_1 e^{-\delta E_{\rm el} t} + c_0 e^{-\delta E_{\rm inel} t}$$

with $\delta E_{\rm el} - \Delta E_{\rm BB} = 50$ MeV $\sim \mathcal{O}(1/L^2)$, $\delta E_{\rm inel} - \Delta E_{\rm BB} = 500$ MeV $\sim \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD})$

- g.s. saturation around $t \to 10$ fm
- fake plateau around $t \sim 1$ fm





Sink Operator Dependence

generalized direct method

$$\overline{R}^{(g)}(t) = \sum_r g(r) R(r,t) = \sum_r g(r) \frac{\sum_x \left\langle 0 | B(r+x,t) B(x,t) \overline{\mathcal{J}(0)} | 0 \right\rangle}{\{G(t)\}^2}$$

"true g.s." does not depend on $g(r)$

$g(r) = 1 + a\exp(-br)$ type projection

smeared src. — sink dep. plateau



wall src. — sink indep.



$\Delta E_{\rm eff}(t) = E^{\rm eff}_{\Xi\Xi}(t) - 2m^{\rm eff}_{\Xi}(t)$: Smeared Src. vs. Wall Src.



HAL: Wave Function and $\Xi\Xi(^1S_0)$ Potential $V_c(\vec{r})$



- ○ **wall src.** — weak t-dep.
- ○ **smeared. src.** — strong t-dep.

$\Rightarrow$ contribution of excited states

- time-dep. HAL method works well

$\Rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}(100)$ MeV of cancellation

$$V_c(\vec{r}) = -\frac{H_0 R}{R} - \frac{(\partial/\partial t)R}{R} + \frac{(\partial/\partial t)^2 R}{4mR}$$