## Division of Astrophysics and Nuclear Physics: Nuclear Physics Group (Parallel session #2)

Takashi Nakatsukasa





@CCS, Univ. of Tsukuba, 2014.2.19

Stochastic generation of low-energy configurations and configuration mixing calculation with Skyrme interactions

#### Graduate Student Y. Fukuoka (expected to receive his PhD in March)

Fukuoka, Shinohara, Funaki, Nakatsukasa, Yabana, PRC 88, 014321 (2013)

# Microscopic structure theories

- Ab-inito-type approaches
  - GFMC, NCSM, CCM, etc.
  - Computationally very demanding for heavier nuclei
- Shell model approaches
  - CI calculation in a truncated space
  - Difficulties in cross-shell excitations
- Microscopic cluster models
  - RGM, GCM, etc.
  - Interaction is tuned for each nucleus
- Energy density functional approaches
  - New configuration-mixing (multi-ref.) calculation

## Toward low-energy complete spectroscopy

Shinohara, Ohta, Nakatsukasa, Yabana, PRC 84, 054315 (2006)

- Beyond the mean field
  - Correlations, excited states
- Beyond (Q)RPA
  - States very different from the g.s.
- Beyond GCM
  - Lift a priori generator coordinates

Toward the *theoretical complete spectroscopy* of low-lying states with *an effective Hamiltonian* and with a *very large model space*:

"Stochastic" approach to configuration mixing

# Configuration mixing with parity and angular momentum projection

- 1. Generation and selection of Slater det's in the 3D Cartesian Coordinate space  $\{\Phi^i\} \ (i = 1, \dots, N)$
- 2. Projection on good  $J^{\pi}$  (3D rotation)  $|\Phi_{MK}^{J}\rangle = P^{\pm}P_{MK}^{J}|\Phi\rangle$
- 3. Solution of generalized eigenvalue eq.  $(\mathbf{H}^{J\pm} - E\mathbf{N}^{J\pm})\mathbf{g} = 0$

$$\frac{H_{nK,n'K'}^{J\pm}}{N_{nK,n'K'}^{J\pm}} = \left\langle \Phi^{n} \left| \begin{cases} H \\ 1 \end{cases} P^{\pm} P_{KK'}^{J} \right| \Phi^{n'} \right\rangle$$

# Imaginary-time evolution



- Quickly removing high-energy (highmomentum) components
- Slowly moving on low-energy collective surface
- Finding local minima

Efficient method to construct configurations associated with many kinds of low-energy collective motions

### Generation of basis states: Imaginary-time method in 3D coordinate space

Long-range correlations in terms of the configuration mixing

Imaginary-time Method

$$\left|\phi_{i}^{(n+1)}\right\rangle = e^{-\Delta t h[\rho]} \left|\phi_{i}^{(n)}\right\rangle, \quad i = 1, \cdots A$$

A well-known method in the Skyrme HF calculations



3D space is discretized in lattice Single-particle orbital:

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \{\phi_i(\mathbf{r}_k)\}_{k=1,\cdots,Mr}, \quad i = 1,\cdots,N$$

### Generation of many S-det's



# Screening of Slater determinants



# 3D angular momentum projection

Parity and angular momentum projected state

$$\Psi_{M}^{J(\pm)} \rangle = \frac{2J+1}{8\pi^{2}} \sum_{K} g_{K} \int d\Omega D_{MK}^{J^{*}}(\Omega) \hat{R}(\Omega) \left| \Phi^{(\pm)} \right\rangle$$

$$\hat{R}(\Omega) = e^{-i\alpha \hat{J}_{z}} e^{-i\beta \hat{J}_{y}} e^{-i\gamma \hat{J}_{z}}$$
Parity-projected SD



Construct the angular momentum eigenstate by the explicit 3D rotation



# Numerical detail

- Three-dimensional (3D) Cartesian mesh
  - Mesh size: 0.8 fm
  - All the mesh points inside the sphere of radius of 8 fm
- Euler angles
  - Discretization
  - $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (18, 30, 18)$  points
- Numerical difficulties
  - Limiting number of SD
  - 50 Slater determinantns
  - About 10 h computation time 8.0 fm with the use of 512 processors



## How *complete* is the calculation?



2012/3/6

- Ten different sets of Slater determinants, generated with different random numbers.
- Low-energy spectra within several hundred keV
- Transition strength within about 10 %

.....(10 sets)

69

<sup>12</sup>C (Sly4)

Exp: M. Chernykh *et al.*, PRL 98,032501 (2007)
AMD: Y. Kanada-En'yo, PTP117,655(2007)
GCM: E. Uegaki, *et al.*, PTP57,4 (1977)1262
RGM: M. Kamimura, NPA351,456-480(1981)
NCSM : P. Navrátil and W. E. Ormand, PRC 68, 034305 (2003)





## <sup>12</sup>C Negative-parity excited states



Reliable results for the lowest state in each  $J^{\pi}$ Similar to the AMD result

# Hoyle state

#### Radius

$J^{\pi}$	present	AMD	FMD	$3\alpha RGM$	BEC	$3\alpha$ GCM	
$0^+_1$	$2.53\pm0.03$	2.53	2.39	2.40	2.40	2.40	
$0_{2}^{+}$	$2.72\pm0.003$	3.27	3.38	3.47	3.83	3.40	Hoyle state
$0^{+}_{3}$	$3.15 \pm 0.02$	3.98	4.62			3.52	Linear-chain state
$2^+_1$	$2.61 \pm 0.002$	2.66	2.50	2.38	2.38	2.36	

Exp, FMD: M. Chernykh *et al.*, PRL 98,032501 (2007) AMD: Y. Kanada-En'yo, PTP117,655(2007) GCM: E. Uegaki, *et al.*, PTP57,4 (1977)1262 RGM: M. Kamimura, NPA351,456-480(1981)

Monopole transition

$$M(E0;0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+) = 4.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ e fm}^2$$
  
5.4 \pm 0.2 Experiment  
6.5 - 6.7 Other cal. based on the  
gaussian anzats

#### 16 POSITIVE parity





✓ correlation energy is about 3 MeV

## <sup>16</sup>O Positive-parity states



Excitation energies are significantly lower than AMD.

16 **NEGATIVE** parity

arrows : B(E2)  $(e^2 \text{fm}^4)$ 



✓ particle-hole excitation is good agreement with experimental values

# <sup>20</sup>Ne: Positive-parity states



- Well reproduce B(E2) values
- Too large moment of inertia

# <sup>20</sup>Ne: Negative-parity states



Computational cost of finite range interaction

■ Skyrme interaction

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \Phi \left| \widehat{V_{t0}^F} \right| \Phi \right\rangle &= -\frac{t_0}{2} x_0 \sum_{i,j} \left\langle \phi_i \phi_j \right| \delta(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2) \widehat{P}_r \widehat{P}_\sigma \widehat{P}_\tau \left| \phi_i \phi_j \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{t_0}{2} x_0 \sum_{\tau} \int d\vec{r} \, \rho(\vec{r}\,)^2 \qquad \rho(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i,\sigma} \phi_i^*(\vec{r},\sigma) \phi_i(\vec{r},\sigma) \end{split}$$



Computational cost :  $N_x^3 \times \underline{N_i}$ 

**Gogny interaction** 

# of orbits

$$\left| \Phi \left| \widehat{V_{W_l}^F} \right| \Phi \right\rangle = -\frac{W_l}{2} \sum_{\tau} \int d\vec{r} \int d\vec{r}' \rho(\vec{r}\sigma, \vec{r}'\sigma') \,\rho(\vec{r}'\sigma', \vec{r}\sigma) \exp\{-(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')^2 / \mu_l^2\}$$
$$\rho(\vec{r}\sigma, \vec{r}'\sigma') \equiv \sum_{i,\sigma} \phi_i^*(\vec{r}, \sigma) \phi_i(\vec{r}', \sigma') \quad \text{Computational cost}: \ N_x^6 \times N_i$$

 $\checkmark$  Same scaling of orbit as the case of Skyrme interaction

 $\checkmark$  scaling of space is power of two

#### Method 1: finite spherical lattice

$$W_{l} \text{ Fock term}$$

$$V_{W_{l}}^{F} = -\frac{W_{l}}{2} \sum_{\tau} \int d\vec{r} \int d\vec{r}' \rho(\vec{r}\sigma, \vec{r}'\sigma') \rho(\vec{r}'\sigma', \vec{r}\sigma) \exp\{-(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')^{2}/\mu_{l}^{2}\}$$

$$\rho(\vec{r}\sigma, \vec{r}'\sigma') \equiv \sum_{i,\sigma} \phi_{i}^{*}(\vec{r}, \sigma) \phi_{i}(\vec{r}', \sigma')$$

The range of Gogny interaction is about 4 fm.

it is sufficient to integrate r' inside 4fm sphere.

Numerical cost :  $N_x^3 \times M \times N_i$ cf. Skyrme interaction  $N_x^3 \times N_i$ 

✓ Same scaling as the case of Skyrme interaction, except M







# Summary

Shinohara et al, PRC 74, 054315 (2006) Fukuoka et al, PRC 88, 014321 (2013)

- Complete low-lying spectroscopy with the Skyrme Hamiltonian
- Capable of describing various excited states in a unified way

#### <u>Problems</u>

- 2<sup>nd</sup> 0<sup>+</sup> state in <sup>16</sup>O
  - Energy too high by about 3 MeV
  - B(E2) Underestimated
  - Center of mass? Weak-coupling phenomena?
- Moment of inertia of <sup>20</sup>Ne
  - Too large
  - Pairing?
- Hoyle state in <sup>12</sup>C
  - Too small radius? Effect of the spin-orbit interaction?

#### <u>Future issues</u>

- Coordinate-space calculation with finite-range interaction
- Reaction studies